

KFKI-1985-52

F. IGLÓI  
D.V. KAPOR  
M. ŠKRINJAR  
J. SÓLYOM

SERIES EXPANSION STUDY OF  
FIRST- AND SECOND-ORDER PHASE TRANSITIONS  
IN A MODEL WITH MULTISPIN COUPLING

*Hungarian Academy of Sciences*

CENTRAL  
RESEARCH  
INSTITUTE FOR  
PHYSICS

BUDAPEST

92-0000-11-4

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures and protocols that must be followed when conducting these activities. It details the steps involved in data collection, analysis, and reporting, ensuring that all information is recorded consistently and accurately.

3. The third part of the document addresses the challenges and potential pitfalls associated with this process. It provides guidance on how to overcome these challenges and ensure that the information recorded is reliable and useful for decision-making.

4. The final part of the document concludes by reiterating the importance of this process and the commitment of the organization to maintaining high standards of accuracy and transparency.

92-0000-11-4

SERIES EXPANSION STUDY OF  
FIRST- AND SECOND-ORDER PHASE TRANSITIONS  
IN A MODEL WITH MULTISPIN COUPLING

F. IGLÓI<sup>\*</sup>, D.V. KAPOR<sup>\*\*</sup>, M. ŠKRINJAR<sup>\*\*</sup> and J. SÓLYOM<sup>\*&</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Central Research Institute for Physics  
H-1525 Budapest 114, P.O.B.49, Hungary

<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Physics, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad,  
Yugoslavia

<sup>&</sup>Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Lausanne,  
Lausanne, Switzerland

## ABSTRACT

The type of phase transition in a chain of Ising spins with multispin interaction is studied in a transverse field, using strong- and weak-coupling expansions. The transition is shown to be of first order if more than three spins are coupled. The critical exponents for the three-spin coupling model are estimated.

## АННОТАЦИЯ

Исследован род фазового перехода модели спиновой цепочки Изинга с много-спиновыми связями в присутствии внешнего перпендикулярного магнитного поля. Показано, что фазовый переход является переходом первого рода, если количество связанных спинов больше трех. Даются оценки критических экспонентов модели с трех-спиновыми связями.

## KIVONAT

Többspin kölcsönhatású Ising spin-lánc fázisátalakulásának típusát merőleges mágneses tér jelenlétében erős- és gyengecsatolási sorfejtéssel tanulmányozzuk. Megmutatjuk, hogy az átalakulás elsőrendű, ha több, mint három spin között van csatolás. Becslést adunk a három-spin kölcsönhatású modell kritikus exponenseire.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

While second-order phase transitions can be studied conveniently by using various formulations of the renormalization-group transformation, the situation is much less satisfactory for first-order phase transitions. Although the concept of discontinuity fixed point (Nienhuis and Nauenberg 1975) is useful in describing first-order phase transitions, these latter are not always associated with a discontinuity fixed point. Similarly, although detailed studies have been performed in finite-size scaling for systems with first-order phase transitions (Imry 1980, Fisher and Berker 1982, Blöte and Nightingale 1982, Iglói and Sólyom 1983, Hamer 1983, Cardy and Nightingale 1983, Privman and Fisher 1983, Binder and Landau 1984), in many practical cases it is not easy to decide from the data for finite systems, whether the transition is of first or second order. This is e.g. the case for the multispin-coupling model studied in this paper.

The model was introduced by Turban (1982) and by Penson et al. (1982). The Hamiltonian can be written in the form

$$H = - \lambda \sum_i \sigma_i^Z \sigma_{i+1}^Z \cdots \sigma_{i+m-1}^Z - h \sum_i \sigma_i^X, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\sigma_i^X$  and  $\sigma_i^Z$  are Pauli operators on site  $i$ . The value of  $m$  determines the number of neighbouring spins that are coupled. At zero temperature the system has a phase transition as the transverse field increases. Since it seems that there is a single phase transition in the system, the self-duality of the model predicts that it should be at  $(h/\lambda)^* = 1$ , independently of the number of coupled spins  $m$ . For  $m=2$  the model is the standard Ising model in transverse field which has a second-order phase transition. For  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , however, mean-field theory should be

exact and the transition turns out to be of first order. There are controversial predictions for the critical value of  $m$ , above which the transition should be of first order. Mean-field theory gives  $m_C=2$ , however renormalization-group calculations (Iglói et al. 1983) give a usual second-order behaviour for  $m=3$ . The analysis of finite-size scaling results lead Penson et al. (1982) to conclude that  $m_C=4$ . From a conjectured criterion for distinguishing between continuous and discontinuous transitions (Livi et al. 1983), Maritan et al. (1983) predicted that for  $m=4$  the transition is already of first order. Since finite-size scaling is not very sensitive to decide when the character of the transition changes, other methods should be used.

In this paper we use the series-expansion method to determine the critical value of  $m_C$ . The paper is organized as follows. The series obtained for the ground-state energy in the weak- and strong-coupling limits are presented in Sec. 2. The series are analysed in Sec. 3, where we find that in fact  $m_C=3$ , and for this case, where the transition is still of second order, the critical exponents are also determined. The results are discussed in Sec. 4.

## 2. SERIES EXPANSION

The weak- and strong-coupling series expansions for quantum spin systems, which are analogous to the high- and low-temperature expansions in classical statistical mechanics, and the analysis of the series by using different methods to determine the critical behaviour, have been proved to be very useful in the study of many systems (Hamer et al. 1979, Elitzur et al. 1979, Hamer and Kogut 1980, Marland 1981). We will apply this procedure to the multispin-coupling model.

The Hamiltonian of eq. (1.1) can be split in two ways. If the multispin coupling  $\lambda$  is stronger than the transverse field  $h$ , this latter can be treated in perturbation and an expansion

in powers of  $h/\lambda$  can be generated. This strong-coupling expansion for the ground state energy per site will have the form

$$\frac{E}{N} = - \lambda \sum_n a_n \left(\frac{h}{\lambda}\right)^n, \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \geq h. \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, if the multispin coupling is weaker than the transverse field, a weak coupling expansion in powers of  $\lambda/h$  can be generated. Due to the self-duality of the model, the series expansion coefficients will be the same in the two cases. i.e.

$$\frac{E}{N} = - h \sum_n a_n \left(\frac{\lambda}{h}\right)^n, \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \leq h, \quad (2.2)$$

and at  $\lambda=h$  the two expressions match. This is valid even if only a few finite-order terms are calculated in the expansion.

If the transition is of second order, than the two expressions give not only the same energy at  $\lambda=h$ , but the left and right derivatives, calculated from the two expressions in their regions of validity, respectively, are also identical at this point. Only the second derivatives will differ. On the other hand, if the transition is of first order, the weak- and strong-coupling expansions give different first derivatives on the two sides of the transition point, indicating a finite latent heat.

If the expansion coefficients are calculated up to a finite order, the two expansions always give differing left and right derivatives at the transition point, although after extrapolating to  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the difference may disappear, indicating a second order phase transition. If, however, the transition is of first order, the difference between the two derivatives should remain finite even when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have performed the weak- and strong-coupling series expansions for the ground-state energy of the model given in eq. (1.1) up to 10th order for  $m=2,3$  and 4, while for  $m=5,6$  and 7 up to 8th order. The series-expansion coefficients are given in Table I.

### 3. ANALYSIS OF THE SERIES

As discussed in the previous section, finite-order perturbation theory always gives a finite latent heat, a finite difference between the derivatives of the ground-state energy at  $\lambda=h$ , when calculated from the weak- or strong-coupling expansions. This  $n$ th order latent heat  $L_n$  is defined as

$$L_n = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \left. \frac{\partial E_n^S(h, \lambda)}{\partial h} \right|_{h=\lambda} - \left. \frac{\partial E_n^W(h, \lambda)}{\partial h} \right|_{h=\lambda} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here  $E_n^S$  and  $E_n^W$  are the ground-state energies calculated in the strong- and weak-coupling expansion, respectively, keeping terms up to  $n$ th order. The values obtained using the results of the previous section are given in Table II.

In the extrapolation to  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the exact solution of the  $m=2$  case (Pfeuty 1970) can be used as a guide. It is easily seen, that for the Ising case the expansion coefficients can be written in the form

$$a_{2n} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{2i-3}{2i} \right)^2. \quad (3.2)$$

After summing up the series with these coefficients one recovers the exact result of Pfeuty (1970). The latent heat in  $n$ th order can be approximated by

$$L_n \approx \frac{2}{\pi} (n+1/2)^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

One can see that the latent heat goes to zero roughly as  $1/n$ . This expression is the special case of the general scaling form valid for second-order transitions (Iglói 1985)

$$L_n \propto n^{-(1-\alpha)} \quad (3.4)$$

Here  $\alpha$  is the specific-heat exponent. This kind of scaling behaviour has been used by one of us to determine the critical exponents of various physical quantities from series expansions.

According to eqs. (3.3) and (3.4), a plot of  $\log L_n$  versus  $\log(n+1/2)$  should give a straight line for second-order phase transitions, with a slope  $-(1-\alpha)$ . This plot is shown in *Fig. 1* for different values of the number of coupled spins. The points lie very well on a straight line for  $m=2$  and 3, while for  $m \geq 4$  there are considerable deviations. The slope of the line for  $m=3$  is approximately  $1/2$ , therefore we plot in *Fig. 2* the value of  $L_n$  as a function of  $(n+1/2)^{-1/2}$ . As is seen, the values are on a straight line not only for  $m=3$ , but for larger  $m$  values as well. For  $m=3$  the extrapolated latent heat vanishes, thus the transition is of second order. The error in the extrapolation of the latent heat is smaller than 0.005. For  $m \geq 4$ , however, the latent heat differs significantly from zero. In these cases the transition is of first order. The accuracy of the extrapolation is rather good. This is due to the fact, that the ratio of the coefficients  $a_n(m)/a_n(m+1)$  varies only slowly with  $n$ , as can be read off from Table I. This quantity is smaller than one for  $m=2$ , but it is larger than unity for  $m \geq 3$ . This ratio is extremely stable for  $m=3$ . Supposing that this ratio is the same in higher orders of the expansion as well, i.e.  $a_n(3)/a_n(4) \approx 1.227$ , independently of  $n$ , we estimate the latent heat for the case  $m=4$  to be

$$L(m=4) = L_{10}(m=4) - L_{10}(m=3) \cdot \frac{a_n(4)}{a_n(3)} = 0.218 \quad (3.5)$$

This value is in good agreement with the estimate from *Fig. 2*. The latent heat for larger values of  $m$  can be extrapolated in the same way, however, the accuracy is somewhat smaller. The calculated latent heats are shown in *Fig. 3*, together with the series-expansion results. The latent heat for large values of  $m$  behaves as  $L=1-3/2m$ . At  $m=3$  the latent heat becomes zero and remains identically zero for smaller values of  $m$ .

Next we estimate the critical properties of the model for  $m=3$ . Since we have calculated the ground-state energy only, the specific-heat exponent  $\alpha$  can be obtained from the second derivative. The series is rather short, therefore different methods have been used to get a best estimate. The result of the ratio method (Gaunt and Guttmann 1974) is  $\alpha=0.53\pm 0.03$ . By using the scaling relation (3.4) we obtain  $\alpha=0.54\pm 0.02$ . The best result is achieved by Padé analysis (Gaunt and Guttmann 1974) of the series. According to the Padé approximants (Table III) we obtain  $\alpha=0.554\pm 0.001$ . Thus all these estimates are consistent with the prediction

$$\alpha = 0.55 \pm 0.01 \quad (3.6)$$

The critical exponent of the correlation length can be calculated from the hyperscaling relation  $d\nu=2-\alpha$ , and we get  $\nu = 0.73\pm 0.01$ . This value is somewhat smaller than the result obtained by Iglói et al. (1983) from the renormalization-group calculation and is close to the value determined by Penson et al. (1982) from finite-size scaling.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

In the present paper the phase transition in a chain of Ising spins coupled by a multispin interaction and submitted to a transverse field has been studied. The weak- and strong-coupling series expansions for the ground-state energy have been performed up to 10th order in the perturbation. It has been shown that in the cases when more than 3 neighbouring spins are coupled, the transition is of first order. This method is thus more sensitive than finite-size scaling to determine the order of transition. In this latter method the  $m=4$  case still seemed to behave like having second-order phase transition (Penson et al. 1982). As shown by Iglói and Sólyom (1983) and Hamer (1983) the finite latent heat in a first-order transition can be de-

terminated from finite-size scaling calculations as well but the limits  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $L$  is the length of the system, and  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^*$  cannot be interchanged.

The analysis of the series allowed us to estimate the critical exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$  for the case  $m=3$ . The values obtained differ from the values known for the 4-state Potts model indicating once more that these models do not belong to the same universality class (Iglói et al. 1983), although in both cases a fourfold degeneracy is lifted at the transition.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Prof. R. Dekeyser (Leuven) for his help in the Pade analysis of the series. One of us (J.S.) is grateful to Prof. P. Erdős for his hospitality at the University of Lausanne and to the Swiss National Science Foundation for the financial support. The numerical calculations have been performed at the Central Research Institute for Physics and at the University of Lausanne. We acknowledge the help of Dr. A. Simonits at the early stages of the numerical calculations.

#### REFERENCES

- Binder K. and Landau D.P.: 1984 Phys. Rev. B 30 1477
- Blöte H.W.J. and Nightingale M.P.: 1981 Physica 112A 405
- Cardy J.L. and Nightingale P.: 1983 Phys. Rev. B 27 4256
- Elitzur S., Pearson R. and Shigemitsu J.: Phys. Rev. D 19 3698
- Fisher M.E. and Berker A.N.: 1982 Phys. Rev. B 26 2507
- Gaunt D.S. and Guttmann A.J.: 1974, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, ed. C. Domb and M.S. Green, Vol. 3. (New York: Academic)

- Hamer C.J.: 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 3085
- Hamer C.J. and Kogut J.B.: 1980 Phys. Rev. B 22 3378
- Hamer C.J., Kogut J.B. and Susskind L.: 1979 Phys. Rev. D 19 3091
- Iglói F.: (to be published)
- Iglói F., Kapor D.V., Škrinjar M. and Sólyom J.: 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 4067
- Iglói F. and Sólyom J.: 1983 J. Phys. C.: Solid State Phys. 16 2833
- Imry Y.: 1980 Phys. Rev. B 21 2042
- Livi R., Maritan A., Ruffo S. and Stella A.L.: 1983 Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 459
- Maritan A., Stella A. and Vanderzande C.: 1984 Phys. Rev. B 29 519
- Marland L.G.: 1981 J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen. 14 2047
- Nienhuis B. and Nauenberg M.: 1975 Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 477
- Penson K.A., Jullien R. and Pfeuty P.: 1982 Phys. Rev. B 26 6334
- Pfeuty P.: 1970 Ann. Phys., NY 57 79
- Privman V. and Fisher M.E.: 1983 J. Stat. Phys. 33 385
- Turban L.: 1982 J. Phys. C.: Solid State Phys. 15 L65

Table I  
Series expansion coefficient  $a_n(m)$  for the model with  $m$  coupled  
spins in  $n$ th order of perturbation

Order	$a_n(2)$	$a_n(3)$	$a_n(4)$	$a_n(5)$	$a_n(6)$	$a_n(7)$
2	1/4	1/6	1/8	1/10	1/12	1/14
4	0.001562500	0.01851852	0.01497396	0.01183333	0.00949074	0.00776239
6	0.00390625	0.00560700	0.00457368	0.00346619	0.00262871	0.00202679
8	0.00152588	0.00251261	0.00205143	0.00148476	0.00106145	0.00076953
10	0.00074768	0.00137929	0.00112433			

Table II

The latent heat  $L_n(m)$  in  $n$ th order of perturbation theory  
calculated from eq. (3.1) for the model with  $m$  coupled spins

Order	$L_n(2)$	$L_n(3)$	$L_n(4)$	$L_n(5)$	$L_n(6)$	$L_n(7)$
2	0.25	0.5	0.625	0.7	0.75	0.7857
4	0.1406	0.3704	0.5202	0.6172	0.6836	0.7314
6	0.0976	0.3087	0.4699	0.5790	0.6546	0.7091
8	0.0748	0.2710	0.4391	0.5568	0.6387	0.6975
10	0.0606	0.2448	0.4178			

Table III  
Padé analysis of the series for the logarithmic derivative  
of the specific heat in the  $m=3$  model

$N \backslash M$	1	2	3
1	.5783	.5587	.5552
2	.5519	.5544	
3	.5542		




Fig. 1

The  $n$ th order latent heat  $L_n$  versus  $n+1/2$  on a log-log plot for different values of the number of coupled spins




Fig. 2

The  $n$ th order latent heat  $L_n$  versus  $(n+1/2)^{-1/2}$  for the models with  $m > 3$




Fig. 3

The  $n$ th order latent heat and the extrapolated value for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  plotted for different  $m$  values



67.717

KFKI-1082-85

x

KFKI-1082-85

KFKI-1082-85



Kiadja a Központi Fizikai Kutató Intézet  
Felelős kiadó: Kroó Norbert  
Szakmai lektor: Dr. Kollár János  
Nyelvi lektor: Dr. Túttó István  
Gépelte: Berron Péterné  
Példányszám: 390 Törzsszám: 85-293  
Készült a KFKI sokszorosító üzemében  
Felelős vezető: Töreki Béláné  
Budapest, 1985. május hó