



# MONTE CARLO CALCULATED SPECTRA OF NEUTRONS TRANSMITTED THROUGH AND REFLECTED FROM HOMOGENEOUS POLYETHYLENE SLABS

L. Koblinger, J. Pálfalvi Health Physics Department Central Research Institute for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

February 1975

Work supported by the International Atomic Energy Agency under Research Contract No. 1115/RB and Research Agreement No. 889/R1/CF

ISBN 963 371 020 0

## ABSTRACT

Transmission and reflection of 14.5 MeV and fission neutrons are calculated for polyethylene shields of thicknesses from 5 to 40 cm. The 48 group spectra are calculated by the Monte Carlo code 05R5S and plotted by the code TRESSPASS. Characteristic quantities of the spectra, average energies, thermal and fast fractions, as well as the transmission or reflection probabilities are also given.

#### АННОТАЦИЯ

Даются спектры нейтронов, прошедших через однородные слои полиэтилена или отраженных от них. 48-групповые спектры были вычислены с помощью программы O5RS5S Monte Carlo и вычерчены с помощью программы TRESPASS.Для каждого спектра приведены вероятности и прохождения или отражения, средняя энергия, а также доля тепловых и быстрых нейтронов.

#### KIVONAT

Homogén polietilén rétegeken áthaladt, illetve azokról visszavert neutronok spektrumát közöljük. A 48 csopotos spektrumokat az O5R5S Monte Carlo programmal számoltuk és a TRESPASS programmal rajzoltattuk fel. Minden spektrumra megadjuk az áthaladási vagy visszaverődési valószinüséget, az átlagenergiát és a gyors, illetve termikus hányadokat.

## 1. Introduction

In neutron dosimeter evaluation one of the most critical points is the knowledge of the spectrum of the neutrons. As a measurement of the spectrum in every case is practically impossible a compendium of spectra calculated for and/or measured in typical situations (typical shield materials, thicknesses, input spectra and geometries) could well be used.

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) supports this work in which our Institute participates, on the basis of Research Contract No. 1115/RB and Research Agreement No. 889/R1/CF.

We have developed a special version of the 05R program for the calculation of the spectra of neutrons transmitted through or reflected from different homogeneous slab shields. This code, the 05R5S (Koblinger, 1974) prints and punches out the spectra.

Two other codes, the TRESPASS (Pálfalvi, 1974) and SPECTRANS-2 (Pálfalvi, 1973) plot the computed spectra and calculate some of their characteristic quantities.

Some spectra calculated for water shields were published earlier (Pálfalvi, Koblinger, 1974), in the present report results obtained for polyethylene shields are given.

Although for dosimeter evaluation only the shape of a spectrum is interesting and not the attenuation, all the quantities calculated are presented here as it is hoped that our results can be used in other fields.

2. Comments on the calculations

The 05R5S calculates the spectra by Monte Carlo technique using the collision density method, i.e. the transmission and reflection probabilities are determined after each scattering, regarding the incidence of a neutron as the 0<sup>th</sup> scattering. This method results in

better statistics in comparison with the analysis of the really escaping neutrons.

The 05R5S prints and punches out the number of the transmitted or reflected neutrons in 49 energy groups. The energy limits and the mean energies for 48 groups are given in Table 1, the 0<sup>th</sup> contains the thermal neutrons. The coefficients of variation are also calculated and edited for every group.

Details of the calculation method are given in the description of the 05R5S code (Koblinger, 1974).

The calculations were performed using the following parameters:

- a/ for cross section handling, the energy supergroups of the 05R code were divided into 128 subgroups (for details: see Lux, Koblinger, 1973);
- b/ the cutoff energy under which the neutrons are considered as thermal, was 0.5 eV;
- c/ for thermal neutrons the non-absorption probability was set to 0.99437, the mean free path length was chosen to be 0.2494 cm. These values were calculated by the code THERMOS (Gadó, 1973).
- d/ the scattering angular distribution for the hydrogen was assumed to be isotropic, whereas for the carbon the distribution was approximated by a Legendre expansion of 6 terms. The Legendre coefficients are given for 64 subgroups in every supergroup.

## 3. Comments on plotting

From the 05R5S results code TRESPASS determines the  $\varphi(u) = E \star \overline{\varphi}(E)$  spectra (neutrons per unit lethargy interval) normalized to unit incident neutron.

- 2 -

Table 1

	STANDARD ENERGY EV	L <sup>1</sup> MITS OF THE	ENERGY GROUPS E EV	LETHARGY INTERVALS
1	2.17010E=01	1 884505=01	2.500005-01	V.2830
2	3 53560E-01	2 50000 =- 01	5.00000F-01	V. 6930
3	7 071508-01	5 000004-01	1.00000F 00	V 6930
4	1 46630E 00	1 000001 00	2.15000F 00	V.7660
5	3 16100E 00	2 150005 00	4.65VUGE 00	V.7710
6	6 81910E 00	4 650005 00	1.00VUOF 01	V. 7660
7	1 46630F 01	1 000005 01	2.150006 01	V 7600
8	3 16100E 01	2 150005 01	4.65000F 01	V.7710
9	6 81910E 01	4 650005 01	1.00000F 02	V.7660
10	1 46630E 02	1 000005 02	2.15000F 02	V. 7660
11	3 16100E 02	2 150005 02	4.65000E 02	¥ 7710
:2	6 81910E 02	4 65000t 02	1.00000F 03	V.7660
13	1 46630E 03	1 000005 03	2.15000F UT	V. 7660
- 4	3 16100F 03	2 150005 03	4.65000F 07	V. 7710
15	6 81910E 03	4 650005 03	1.004005 04	V. 7660
16	1 12200E 04	1 000005 04	1.25890F U4	V.2300
17	1 41250E 04	1 258205 04	1.58480F 04	V.2300
18	1 78160E 04	1 584805 04	1.99>10F 04	V 2300
19-	2 23850E 04	1 995105 04	2 51170E 04	0 2300
20	2 81820E 04	2 511705 04	3.16400F 04	V. 2300
21	3 54780E 04	3 162005 04	3.98050F 04	V 2300
22	4 46670F 04	3 080505 04	5 041205 04	V 2300
23	5 622 OF 04	5 011205 04	6 308005 04	V 2300
24	7 07820E 04	6 308605 04	7 941805 04	V 2300
25	8 91170E 04	7 941805 04	1.000005 05	V 2300
26	1 12200E 05	1 000005 05	1 258905 05	V 2300
77	1 41250E 05	1 258905 05	1 584805 05	V 2300
28	1 781405 05	1 584905 05	1 002105 05	V 2300
70	2 238605 05	1 995105 05	2-541/05 05	U 2300
30	2 919205 05	2 511708 05	3 146UAE 0E	V 2700
31	3 54720F 05	3 162005 05	3 080605 05	V 2300
32	4 46630E 05	3 980605 05	5 01120E US	V 2300
33	5 62260E 05	5 011205 05	6. 30000F 05	V 2300
34	7 07820F 05	6 30860E 05	7 941805 05	V 2300
75	8 01170F AC	7 041905 05	1 000000 04	V 2700
36	1 12200E 04	1 000005 06	1.250905 04	V. 2300
37	1 41250E 06	1 258005 06	1.58480F 04	V 2300
38	1 78160E 06	1 584805 06	1.99210F 06	V 2300
30	2 23850E 06	1 095105 06	2 511/0E 04	V 2300
40	2 81820E 06	2 511705 06	3.164005 04	V 2300
41	3.54780E 06	3 162005 06	3.980605 04	V.2300
42	4.46630E 06	3 980405 04	5.011205 04	V. 2300
43	5.622605.06	5 011205 06	6.308605 04	0,2300
44	7 07820E 06	6 308605 06	7.94180F UA	V 2300
45	8 91170E 06	7 941805 06	1.000005 07	v 2300
46	1,12200E 07	1 000001 07	1.250905 07	V 2300
47	1 41250F 07	1 258005 07	1.584805 07	U 2300
	1 701 (05 07	1 584005 07	1 002105 07	1 2700

- 3 -

It should be noted that by this normalization only

$$u_{max} \qquad u_{max} \\ \int \varphi(u) du < 1 = \int \varphi_{inc}(u) du \\ u_{min} \qquad u_{min}$$

- 4 -

is satisfied but for a given group  $\varphi(u_k)$  may exceed 1.

Before plotting the spectra the following two transformations are carried out, if necessary:

a/ If monoenergetic incident neutrons are considered the upper limit of the last energy interval is replaced by the source energy as there are no neutrons with energies higher than this value. If the new last energy interval obtained by this method is shorter than one tenth of the original interval the last and penultimate intervals are united.

b/ In the case of plotting of thermal neutrons, their distribution is assumed to be Maxwellian. The peak of the  $\varphi(u)$  distribution is at E=1.5 kT (=0.0379 eV) and the differential fluence at this point is

$$\frac{\underline{\lambda}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{1.5}{e} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 0.463$$

times the fluence of the thermal group. (The real distribution slightly differs from the Maxwellian but generally neither the location nor the height of the peak is shifted by more than 4-5 per cent, therefore if this minor effect had been taken into account the plotting procedure would have been unnecessarily complicated.)

The code plots the spectra as step functions marking the standard deviation also. The thermal peak is represented by an "X".

## 4. Results and Conclusions

Runs have been carried out for three incident sources:

- a/ monoenergetic source of 14.5 MeV, cosine angular distribution,
- b/ monoenergetic source of 14.5 MeV, perpendicular incidence,
- c/fission source: the energy distribution is given by the Watt formula (cosine angular distribution).

For all the three cases shield thicknesses of 5, 10, 20 and 40 cm are considered. Thermal neutrons are treated only for 5 and 10 cm thick slabs to save running time, which increases by a factor of two even in the case of thickness of 10 cm and grows rapidly with increasing thickness.

The spectra are given in Figs 1-24.

The statistics for the transmitted neutrons worsen if the thickness increases or the incident energy decreases. For instance, the time spent in computing of the transmission of fission neutrons through 40 cm was more than 4 times higher than that spent for computing neutrons of 14.5 MeV but the statistics are poorer for the fission neutrons (see Fig. 23). It must be mentioned here that the uncertainty decreases if fewer energy groups are used. This effect is illustrated in Fig. 23a, where the mean of 3 flux values is taken.

The following characteristic data are calculated by code SPECTRANS-2:

a/ transmitted or reflected fraction:  $N_T/N$  or  $N_R/N$ , where N is the number of incident neutrons,  $N_T$ and  $N_R$  are the numbers of neutrons transmitted and reflected, respectively;

b/ average energy:



- 5 -

where  $N_k$  is the number of neutrons and  $E_k$  is the standard (mean) energy for the k<sup>th</sup> group (for thermal neutrons, the average energy is  $E_0 = 0.0402 \text{ eV} - \text{calculated from the results of the code THERMOS};$ 

- c/ fast neutron fraction of the transmitted or reflected neutrons:  $N_F/N_T$  or  $N_F/N_R$ , ( $N_F$  is the number of neutrons with energies higher than 2.5 MeV; considered as fast neutrons);
- d/ thermal fraction of the transmitted or reflected neutrons:  $N_{TH}/N_T$  or  $N_{TH}/N_R$ , ( $N_{TH}$  is the number of the thermal neutrons).

For 5 and 10 cm cases where also thermal neutrons are calculated these data are computed both including and excluding the thermal neutrons. The latter set of values can be used for comparison with data of other thicknesses where thermal neutrons were not calculated.

The characteristic data along with the number of incident neutrons N (which has no physical meaning but is interesting from the point of view of computation) are given in each figure (Figs 1-24). Some of the characteristic data are plotted vs slab thickness in Figs 25-28.

- 6 -

Figs 1-24

The Monte Carlo calculated spectra



- 8 -



-9-



- 11 -



ENERGY(EV) REFL. 10.0 CM PE EIN=14.5 MEV, ANGLE:90



TRANS. 20.0 CM PE EIN=14.5 MEV, ANGLE:90

F. 5

- 12 -



6



- 14 -



-15 -

ENERGY(EV) REFL. 40.0 CM PE EIN=14.5 MEV, ANGLE:90



- 16 -



E

0

Ũ

- 17 -



ENERGY(EV) TRANS. 10.0 CM PE EIN=14.5 MEV, COS.DIST.



- 19 -



- 20 -



7

- 21-







ENERGY (EV)

REFL. 40.0 CM PE EIN=14.5 MEV, COS.DIST.

F. 16

-23 -





- 25 -

REFL. 5.0 CM PE FISSION, COS.DIST





REFL. 10.0 CM PE FISSION, COS.DIST

ENERGY (EV)

 $10^{-1}$   $10^{0}$   $10^{1}$   $10^{2}$   $10^{3}$   $10^{4}$   $10^{5}$   $10^{6}$   $10^{7}$   $10^{8}$ 

10-7

10<sup>-2</sup>

N ... :

1875



a contra da



- 29 -



- 30 -

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 31 -

F. 23 a

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 32-

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

F. 25. The probability of transmission without thermalisation vs slab thickness.

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

F. 26. The average energy of the transmitted non-thermal neutrons.

- 34 -

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

F. 27.

The probability of reflection without thermalisation vs. slab thickness.

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

F. 28. The average energy of the reflected non-thermal neutrons.

- 35 -

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. S. Makra and Mr. A. Kondor for their valuable comments as well as Mr. J. Gadó for the use of the THERMOS instructions. References:

Gado, J., 1973, KFKI-73-47;

Koblinger, L., 1974, KFKI-74-47;

Lux, I., Koblinger, L., 1973, KFKI-73-2;

Pálfalvi, J., 1973, KFKI-73-57;

Pálfalvi, J., 1974, KFKI-74-48;

Pálfalvi, J., Koblinger, L., 1974, KFKI-74-63.

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)