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## ABSTRACT

In the models proposed by Longa and Oles there exist two oppositely magnetized equilibrium states, if the temperature is sufficiently low. Spins in the frustrated cells also carry a moment the magnitude of which is 0.528 at zero temperature.

## АННОТАЦИЯ

В модели, предложенной Лонга и Олешом, существуют два равновесных состояния с противоположно направленной намагниченностью, если температура достаточно низкая. Спины в фрустированной ячейке имеют магнитный момент, величина которого равна 0,528 при нулевой температуре.

## KIVONAT

Longa és Oles által javasolt modellekben két ellentétesen mágnesezett egyensulyi állapot létezik, ha a hõ̃mérséklet elegendõen alacsony. A frusztrált cellákban levô spinek szintén hordoznak mágneses momentumot, melynek értéke zéró hômérsékleten 0.528 .

## 1.Introduction

Recently, Longa and Oles (1980) studied a family of periodic Ising frustration models on the square lattice, in which frustrated squares occupied pairs of neighbouring columns and two such pairs were separated by $m \geq 1$ columns of non-frustrated squares (fig.1). This distribution of frustration can be realized, for example, by choosing the bonds negative along each $(m+2)$ th vertical line and positive otherwise. Applying the method of dimers they calculated the free energy of these models and found a singularity at some $T=T_{C}(m)>0$. To study the low temperature behaviour, they performed a mean-field calculation which suggested the appearance of long-range order in areas of non-frustrated squares. In the present note, this suggestion is verified rigorously and it is shown that the spins in the frustrated cells also become partially ordered.
Very recently, Hoever et al.(1980) extended the discussion to models with arbitrary periodic distribution of columns of frustrated squares : they calculated the free energy and found a simple and striking condition for the existence of a positive critical temperature. The study of the inagnetization is more dizficult in this case and will be the subject of future work. On physical grounds, it is easy to understand why does magnetization set in at low temperatures in the models of Longa and Oles'. Let us adopt the choice for the bonds as indicated above and consider the ground state spin configurations (gs) of the system. The $\sigma_{+} \equiv 1$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \equiv-1$ configurations are gs; on fig.1, full lines of unit length cross the negative bonds, indicating that
they are the "wrong bonds" in $\sigma_{*}$ and $\sigma_{-}$: those at the higher energy level. Consider, e.g., $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{+}$. A local zero energy transformation (lzet) which consists of flipping several non-neighbouring spins along a vertical line with negative bonds, carries $\sigma_{+}$into another gs. Let $F_{+}$ be the family of those gs which can be obtained from $\sigma_{+}$by performing a sequence of lzet and let $F_{-}$be the corresponding family for $\sigma_{-}$. Every gs in $F_{+}\left(F_{-}\right)$ shows long range order in the sense that every spin outside the negative vertical lines has the value +1 $(-1)$. Clearly, $-F_{-}=F_{+}$and these sets are disjoint. One expects that $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$are the continuations, to $T=0$, of oppositely magnetized low temperature phases. The complication arises from the existence of a family $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ of gs which is disjoint from both $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$. The elements of $F_{o}$ can be obtained from that of $F_{+}$or $F_{-}$by flipping whole strips of spins; an example is shown on fig.2. The "strip-flip" transformation is not local but it can be performed as a sequence of local transformations at a total cost of energy proportional to the width of the strip. Therefore, $F_{o}$ provides with a channel between $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$, available at whatever small positive temperatures. A simple numerical estimate shows, however, that the mixing of $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$via $F_{o}$ is a negligible effect: It is easy to calculate the total number of gs, |F tot $\mid$, and the number of gs with long range order, $\left|F_{+}\right|+\left|F_{-}\right|$. Considering a square of $N$ sites, one finds the asymptotic results

$$
\left|F_{\text {tot }}\right| \equiv\left|F_{+}\right|+F_{-}\left|+\left|F_{o}\right|=2(1+c \mid \sqrt{\sqrt{N}}) \sqrt{N} /(m+2)\right.
$$

and

$$
\left|F_{+}\right|+\left|F_{-}\right|=2 c^{N /(m+2)}
$$

where $c=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ (see later in the text). Hence, the entropy of the mixing at zero temperature is

$$
\ln \left|F_{t o t}\right|-\ln \left(\left|F_{+}\right|+\left|F_{-}\right|\right)=\frac{\sqrt{N}}{m+2} \ln \left(1+c^{-\sqrt{N}}\right)
$$

which vanishes in the thermodynamic limit, suggesting that $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$represent different low temperature phases.

In Section 2, we make this "physical argument" precise. In order to obtain this goal, we extend the method of Peierls which cannot be applied to the present problem neither in its original form (Peierls 1936), nor in a recent generalized version aimed to cover cases of frustration (Sütó 1980).

## 2. Study of the magnetization

We consider any model with frustrated squares distributed as discussed above, for some $m \geq 1$. Let $\sigma_{0}$ be one of the two gs in which the wrong bonds are those along the vertical lines between neighbouring columns of frustrated squares (see fig.1). We prove the following proposition: If the temperature is sufficiently low then there exists an equilibrium state, belonging to $\sigma^{\sigma}$ o in the following sense:
(i) In any typical configuration, 5 , of this state, one can find an infinite connected set of sites over which $\sigma=\sigma_{0}-$
(ii) If x is not a common site of four frustrated squares then

$$
\sigma_{0}(x)\langle\sigma(x)\rangle \sigma_{\sigma_{0}}>0
$$

and goes to 1 with $T$ going to 0 .
(iii) If $x$ is the common site of four frustrated squares (i.e., $x$ is in a frustrated cell) then

$$
\langle\sigma(x)\rangle_{\sigma_{0}}=\left[1-2(2 /(1+\sqrt{5}))^{3}\right] \sigma_{0}(x) \approx 0.528 \sigma_{0}(x)
$$

at $T=0$.
We may remark the followings:

1) The equilibrium state belonging to $\sigma_{0}$ can be generated as the thermodynamic limit of probability distributions in finite volumes, if $\sigma(x)=\sigma_{0}(x)$ on the boundary of these volumes. The notation $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\sigma}$ refers to this construction.
2) Except (iii), the above proposition contains the usual statements which can be obtained by a Peierls type argument. $A$ bound $T_{0}$, below which (i) and (ii) are verified and which is common for any $m \geq 1$, can be inferred from the proof; this $T_{0}$ is, however, a poor lower estimate for the critical temperatures.
3) By reason of symmetry, there exists another equilibrium state belonging to $-\sigma_{0}$ in the above sense. Therefore, the properties (i)-(iii) imply the breakdown of the $\sigma \rightarrow-\sigma$ symmetry of the Hamiltonian.

To prove the proposition, we consider a finite part $V$ of the lattice, fix the configuration $\sigma_{0}$ outside $V$ and study the equilibrium probability distribution $P_{V}$ for the configurations inside. By definition,
${ }^{P}$ [the configuration is $\sigma$ in V$]$

$$
=z_{V}, \sigma_{0}^{-1} \exp \left[-\beta\left(H(\sigma)-H\left(\sigma_{0}\right)\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=z_{V, \sigma_{O}}{ }^{-1} \exp \left[-2 \beta \sum_{\langle x y>e \partial(\sigma)} J_{x y} \sigma_{O}(x) \sigma_{o}(y)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial(\sigma)$ contains those bonds 〈xy> for which $\sigma(x) \sigma(y)=-\sigma_{0}(x) \sigma_{0}(y)$ and $z_{v,} \sigma_{0}$ is the partition function corresponding to the boundary condition. If for each $\langle x y\rangle \in \partial(\sigma)$ one draws a dashed line of unit length crossing the bond $\langle x y\rangle$, one finds that $\partial(\sigma)$ is represented by a collection of closed lines separating the domains of $V$ where $\sigma=\sigma_{0}$ from those where $\sigma=-\sigma_{0}$ ( an example for $\partial(\sigma)$ is shown on fig.3). Once $\sigma_{0}$ is fixed outside $V$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the configurations and the collections of closed lines on the dual lattice. Let $\Omega_{o}$ denote the set of the wrong bonds of $\sigma_{o}$; these are crossed by full lines of the dual lattice. Now, the sum in the exponent of Eq. (1) has a simple geometric interpretation. The sets $\partial(G)$ and $\Omega_{0}$ may have common bonds which appear on the figure as coinciding full and dashed lines; if $\left|\partial \cap \Omega_{0}\right|$ is the number of common bonds and $\left|\partial-\Omega_{0}\right|$ is the number of bonds belonging to $\partial$ but not to $\Omega_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\langle x y\rangle \in \partial} J_{x y} \sigma_{0}(x) \sigma_{0}(y)=\left|\partial-\Omega_{0}\right|-\left|\partial \cap \Omega_{0}\right| \equiv k_{\partial} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we assumed that $\left|J_{X Y}\right|=1$. Plainly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq k_{\partial} \leq|\partial| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a set of bonds, $\Gamma$, is a contour if $\Gamma=\partial(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma$ and if $\Gamma$ is represented by a singly or multiply connected line. For any $\sigma, \partial(\sigma)$ is the union
of maximal connected parts each of them being a contour. If fore some $x \in V$ we find $\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{0}(x)$ then there is at least one contour in $\partial(\sigma)$ which surrounds $x$. In the following formulas, $\Gamma$ always denotes a contour and $x \in$ Int $\partial$ means that some part of $\partial$ surrounds $x$. Let now $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $x$ be an arbitrary site in $V$. Then the following inequalities are true for the probability distribution (1).

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{V}\left[\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{o}(x)\right] \leqslant P_{V}[x \in \operatorname{Int} \partial(\sigma)] \\
& \leq \sum_{\Gamma: x \in \operatorname{Int} \Gamma} P_{V}[\Gamma] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\Gamma: x \in \operatorname{Int} \Gamma \\
k_{\Gamma} \geq \varepsilon|\Gamma|}} P_{V}[\Gamma]+\sum_{\Gamma: x \in \operatorname{Int} \Gamma} P_{V}[\Gamma]+\sum_{\Gamma: x \in \operatorname{Int} \Gamma} P_{V}[\Gamma] \\
& \equiv A_{x}(\varepsilon,>)+A_{x}(\varepsilon,<)+A_{x}(0) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{V}[\Gamma]$ is the probability that $\Gamma$ is a maximal connected component of some $\partial(\sigma)$. According to the usual Peierls argument (see, e.g., Griffiths 1972),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}(\varepsilon,>) \leq \sum_{\ell \geq 4}(\varphi / 2) 3^{\ell} e^{-2 \beta \varepsilon \ell} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second and third sums in Eq.(4) do not appear if there is no frustration present; below we elaborate their estimates.
Let $\hat{\partial}$ denote the set of those sites of the dual lattice which are visited by $\partial$ and let us introduce the notation

$$
z=e^{-2 \beta}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{V}[\Gamma]=z^{k} \sum_{\partial^{\prime}: \hat{\partial^{\prime} n} \hat{r}=\phi} z^{k} \partial^{\prime} / \sum_{\partial^{\prime \prime}} z^{k} \partial^{\prime \prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the denominator is just $Z_{V, \sigma_{0}}$ and, if some configuration $\sigma$ contributes to the l.h.s., then $\partial(\sigma)=\partial^{\prime} \cup \Gamma$ with one of the $\partial^{\prime}$ in the numerator of the r.h.s..

It is obviously true that

$$
\sum_{\partial^{\prime \prime}} z^{k} \partial^{\prime \prime} \geq \sum_{\partial^{\prime}: \hat{\partial^{\prime} \cap \hat{\Gamma}=\phi}} z^{k} \partial^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{\partial \cdot \hat{\partial} c \hat{\Gamma}} z^{k} \partial
$$

which gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{V}[\Gamma] \leqslant z^{k} \Gamma / \sum_{\partial: \hat{\partial} c \hat{\Gamma}} z^{k} \partial \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate is valid for any contour $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}(\varepsilon,<) \leqslant \sum_{\Gamma: x \in \operatorname{Int\Gamma }}\left(\sum_{\partial: \hat{\partial} c \hat{\Gamma}} 1\right)^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\Gamma} \equiv \sum_{\substack{\partial \cdot \hat{\partial} \subset \hat{\Gamma} \\ k_{\partial}=0}} 1>\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-3 \varepsilon)|\Gamma|-1} \equiv \mathrm{f}(|\Gamma|) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $\Gamma$ satisfying the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<k_{\Gamma}<\varepsilon|\Gamma| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For, let $\Gamma$ be such a contour. We consider the line representing $\Gamma$ (fig.3) and divide it into zero energy segments (zes) and purely positive energy segments (ppes).

A zes is a maximal piece of $\Gamma$ which begins with a wrong bond, goes on with an alternating sequence of good and wrong bonds and is terminated by a good bond ( good and wrong bonds are elements of $\Gamma-\Omega_{o}$ and $\Gamma \cap \Omega_{o}$, respectively). A ppes is a maximal connected part of $\Gamma$ between two zes, therefore it contains only good bonds. The following elementary relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\Gamma} \equiv[\text { number of zes }]=[\text { number of ppes }] \leq k_{\Gamma}<\varepsilon|\Gamma| \\
& (1-\varepsilon) \cdot|\Gamma| / 2<\left|\Gamma \cap \Omega_{0}\right|<|\Gamma| / 2 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and, as a consequence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\underline{z e s} \in \Gamma,|z e s| \geq 4}|\underline{z e s}|=2 \times[\text { number of wrong bonds } \\
& \text { belonging to } \underline{z e s} \text { of length } \geq 4] \\
& \geq 2\left(\left|\Gamma \cap \Omega \Omega_{o}\right|-r_{\Gamma}\right) \geq(1-3 \varepsilon)|\Gamma| \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where |zes| denotes the length of zes.
Now consider a zes of length $2 \ell$ where $\ell \geq 2$; this goes through the centers of $2 \ell$ frustrated squares. These centers surround $\ell-1$ sites, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}$, of the lattice (denoted by circles on fig.3); the spins sitting here are in frustrated cells. To obtain the estimate (9) we have to calculate ${ }^{n} \ell-1$, the number of ground states of these $\ell-1$ spins with the condition that the configuration is $\sigma_{o}$ outside them. Clearly, $\left\{\sigma_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{o}\left(x_{\ell-1}\right)\right\}$ is a gs and any configuration, not containing the detail $\ldots,-\sigma_{o}\left(x_{i}\right),-\sigma_{o}\left(x_{i+1}\right), \ldots$ is also a gs. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{n}_{\ell}$ satisfies the difference equation for the Fibonacci numbers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\ell+1}=2 n_{\ell-1}+\left(n_{\ell}-n_{\ell-1}\right)=n_{\ell-1}+n_{\ell} \tag{13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=2, \quad n_{2}=3 \tag{13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be solved by the use of the method of generating functions, resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{l}=\frac{2 \sqrt{5}+4}{5+\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^{l}-(-1)^{l} \frac{2 \sqrt{5}-4}{5-\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)^{l}>\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{l} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\ell \geq 1$. Any zes the length of which is $2 \ell \geq 4$, contributes to $n_{\Gamma}$ with a factor $n_{\ell-1}$. From (12) and (14) then one obtains (9). The bound given in (9) depends only on the length of $\Gamma$. This makes possible to continue (8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}(\varepsilon,<) \leq \sum_{\ell} N_{\ell} / f(\ell) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\ell}$ denotes the number of contours of length $\ell$ which surround $x$ and satisfy (10). Now we give an upper bound to this number. It is easy to estimate the number of those contours which contribute to $N_{l}$ and contain a given bond, $b$, a given number of wrong bonds, $\ell_{0}$, and a given number of zero energy segments, $r$. Their number will be denoted by $N_{\ell}\left(b, \ell_{o}, r\right)$. Starting from $b$, one can order the $\ell$ bonds of the contour in a sequence so that neighbouring bonds are joining in a site of the dual lattice. Therefore contours correspond to random walks of length $\ell$, starting from $b$. In each site along a ppes, there is at most three possibilities to continue the walk; once the walk arrives at a zes, there is al-
together 6 possibilities until we can continue with the following ppes : 2 ways to choose the first good bond of the zes and 3 to choose the last one. The total length of the purely positive energy segments is $\ell-2 \ell_{0}$, therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\ell}\left(b, \ell_{0}, r\right) & \leqslant 3^{\ell-2 \ell_{0}} 6^{r} \leqslant 3^{\ell-(1-\varepsilon) \ell} 6_{6}^{\varepsilon \ell} \\
& =18^{\varepsilon \ell} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (11). It follows also from (11) that there are at most $\varepsilon \ell$ and $\varepsilon \ell / 2$ different possibilities for choosing $r$ and $\ell_{o}$, respectively. Furthermore, if one starts from $x$ and makes $\ell / 2$ steps to right, one certainly crosses at least one bond of any contour contributing to $N_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$. Whence, it is sufficient to choose the starting bond b from a set containing $\ell / 2$ bonds. These facts and (16) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\ell} \leq \frac{1}{4} \ell^{3} \varepsilon^{2} 18^{\varepsilon \ell} \tag{17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, (11) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\ell}=0 \text { if } \quad \ell<1 / \varepsilon \tag{17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $r_{\Gamma} \geq 1$ for any $\Gamma$ satisfying (10). Equations (8), (9), (15) and (17) together result in

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}(\varepsilon,<) \leq 0.4 \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1 / \varepsilon}\left(37^{\varepsilon} \times 0.787\right)^{\ell} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $x$ is not in a frustrated cell; then $A_{x}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{V}\left[\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{0}(x)\right] & \leq \sum_{\ell \geq 4} \ell / 2 \cdot 3^{\ell} \cdot e^{-2 \beta \varepsilon \ell} \\
& +0.4 \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1 / \varepsilon}\left(37^{\varepsilon} \times 0.787\right)^{\ell} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=0.066$ and $\beta>3.2$ we find that the sums on the r.h.s. of (19) are convergent. Then, from the BorelCantelli lemma (see,e.g., Feller 1968) it follows that with probability 1 there is only a finite number of contours surrounding $x$, which is another way to formulate the percolation property (i) of the proposition. If $\varepsilon$ is so small that $A_{x}(\varepsilon,<)<1 / 2-\alpha$ (where $\alpha>0$ ) and $\beta$ is so large that $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}(\varepsilon,>)<\alpha / 2$ then

$$
P_{V}\left[\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{0}(x)\right] \leq(1-\alpha) / 2
$$

showing that a moment, parallel to $\sigma_{o}(x)$, appears in $x$. Finally, if we keep $\varepsilon$ fixed and let $\beta$ go to infinity, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\beta \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } P_{V}\left[\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{0}(x)\right] \leq 0.4 \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1 / \varepsilon}\left(37^{\varepsilon} \times 0.787\right)^{\ell} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is true for whatever positive $\varepsilon$ and volume $V$, implying that $\sigma(x)=\sigma_{0}(x)$ at $T=0$ with full probability. This concludes the proof of the statement (ii) of the proposition.

The bounds (5) and (18) are also valid for x not being in a frustrated cell. However, $A_{x}(0)$ is not zero in that case. Let $\gamma(x)$ denote the shortest possible contour around $x$, that is, the contour of the four edges separating $x$ from its nearest neighbours. Now, $k_{\gamma(x)}=0$ and $k_{\Gamma}>0$ for any other contour around $x$. We can write
therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}(0)=P_{V}[\gamma(x)] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{V \rightarrow \infty} P_{V}[\gamma(x)]=\left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{3} \approx 0.236 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $T=0$. Indeed, for $\beta=+\infty$,

$$
z^{k_{\partial}}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } k_{\partial}>0  \tag{23}\\ 1 & \text { if } k_{\partial}=0\end{cases}
$$

and the substitution of (23) into (6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{V}[\gamma(x)]=\sum_{\substack{\partial: \gamma(x)<\partial \\ k_{\partial}=0}} 1 / \sum_{\partial: k_{\partial}=0} 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the numerator, the summation runs over those gs which coincide with $\sigma_{o}$ outside $V$ and $-\sigma_{o}$ on the site $x$. In the denominator, we find the same summation except the restriction on $\sigma(x)$. In every gs occurring in these summations the configuration outside the frustrated cells coincides with $\sigma_{o}$. The number of $g s$ is therefore the product of the numbers of gs in each column of frustrated cells. The contribution of every column cancels out in (24), except that of the one containing $x$. If, in this column, there are $m_{1}$ site above $x$ and $m_{2}$ below it, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{V}[\gamma(x)]=n_{m_{1}-1} n_{m_{2}-1} / n_{m_{1}+m_{2}+1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{\ell}$ is given by (14). If both $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ goes to infinity, we obtain the limit (22). The third part of the proposition follows from (22) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_{V}\left[\sigma(x)=-\sigma_{o}(x)\right]=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_{V}[\gamma(x)] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Concluding remarks

We have rigorously shown that frustrated systems described by the above models become magnetically ordered at sufficiently low temperatures. An interesting finding is that ground states which locally transform into each other may not be equivalent from a statistical point of view. Spins in the frustrated cells become magnetized though their moments are not fully saturated at zero temperature. Therefore, a periodic oscillation of the magnetization appears in the horizontal direction. The continuity, at $T=0$, of the moments in the frustrated cells still needs a proof.
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Fig. 1
The $m=1$ frustration model. Crosses mark frustrated squares and the lines connecting them indicate the wrong bonds of the ground states $\sigma_{+}$and $\sigma_{-}$ ( $\sigma_{0}$, in general).


Fig. 2
Wrong bonds in a ground state belonging to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}$.


Fig. 3
The ground state $\sigma_{0}$ and a contour with respect to it. Zero energy sequences are put in parentheses.
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